

Assessment #4

**SUMMATIVE EVALUATION OF ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS
STUDENT TEACHING EXPERIENCE**

NCTE Standards 2.1-2.6; 3.51-4 & 3.1.6&7; 3.6.1&3; 3.71; 4.1-4.8 & 4.10

| I. CONTENT KNOWLEDGE | Not Observed 0 | NOT ACCEPTABLE 1 | ACCEPTABLE 2 | TARGET 3 |
|--|---------------------------|--|--|---|
| Literature (Standard 3.5) | | | | |
| British Lit (3.5.1) | | Shows little knowledge of British literature in teaching | Shows knowledge of a variety of British literature in teaching | Demonstrates in-depth knowledge of British literature in teaching |
| US Lit (3.5.1) | | Shows little knowledge of US literature in teaching | Shows knowledge of a variety of US literature in teaching | Demonstrates in-depth knowledge of US literature in teaching |
| World Lit (3.5.1) | | Shows little knowledge of World literature in teaching | Shows knowledge of a variety of World literature in teaching | Demonstrates in-depth knowledge of World literature in teaching |
| Non-Western Lit (3.5.1) | | Shows little knowledge of Non-Western literature in teaching | Shows knowledge of a variety of Non-Western literature in teaching | Demonstrates in-depth knowledge of Non-Western literature in teaching |
| Different Genres (3.5.2) | | Shows little knowledge of genres literature in teaching | Shows knowledge of a variety of different genres in teaching | Demonstrates in-depth knowledge of different genres in teaching |
| Female Writers (3.5.2) | | Shows little knowledge of female writers in teaching | Shows knowledge of a variety of female writers in teaching | Demonstrates in-depth knowledge of female writers in teaching |
| Authors of Color (3.5.1) | | Shows little knowledge of authors of color in teaching | Shows knowledge of a variety of authors of color in teaching | Demonstrates in-depth knowledge of authors of color in teaching |
| Adolescent Lit (3.5.3) | | Shows little knowledge of adolescent literature in teaching | Shows knowledge of a variety of adolescent literature in teaching | Demonstrates in-depth knowledge of adolescent literature in teaching |
| Literary Criticism (3.5.4) | | Shows little knowledge of literary criticism in teaching | Shows knowledge of literary criticism in teaching | Demonstrates in-depth knowledge of literary criticism in teaching |
| Language and Grammar (Standard 3.1) | | | | |
| In candidate's speaking (3.1.7) | | Makes frequent errors that call into question the candidate's knowledge of grammar | Usually demonstrates a clear understanding of grammar in speaking | Nearly always demonstrates a clear understanding of grammar in speaking |

Comment [11]: This would be the summative tool used by supervisors, correct? You will need to include the instructions about its use at a minimum. Also, use of "not observed" is problematic for any standard for which there is little evidence in other assessments. If you want to assure that candidates have all the requisite content, pedagogy, and professional knowledge and skills, then having that column could be self-defeating. Other programs have decided to blank out the column for those standards where the evidence from this assessment is crucial. You may decide to do the same or thing of another way to assure coverage.

| | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|
| In candidate's writing (3.1.7) | | Makes frequent errors that call into question the candidate's knowledge of grammar | Usually demonstrates a clear understanding of grammar in writing | Nearly always demonstrates a clear understanding of grammar in writing |
| In teaching grammar (3.1.6) | | Grammar teaching calls into question the candidate's knowledge of English | Is usually able to translate knowledge of grammar into effective lessons for students | Translates knowledge of grammar into effective lessons for students |
| Non-print Texts | | | | |
| Media's influence on culture (3.6.1) | | Lacks a critical and analytical understanding of the relation between media and culture | Understands how to extract meaning from media and use it to enhance student learning | Demonstrates a critical understanding of media's influence on culture in teaching |
| Technology | | | | |
| Effective use in candidate's teaching (3.6.3) | | Candidate shows little knowledge of how to use technology to enhance teaching | Candidate uses technology in instruction | Candidate is comfortable with technology and able to use it effectively to enhance teaching |
| Effective use in students' learning (3.6.3) | | Seems uncomfortable with helping students use technology for learning | Requires students to use technology for learning | Is adept at helping students use technology to enhance and reflect on their learning |
| Promotes critical analysis of media and technology (4.6) | | Shows little understanding of how to help students analyze media critically | Engages students in analyzing media and technology | Engages students in critical analysis of how media and technology affect learning |
| II. INSTRUCTION | | | | |
| Creates an inclusive and supportive environment (2.1) | | Does not seem able to create or maintain an inclusive and supportive environment in which all students can learn | Creates an inclusive and supportive environment in which all students can learn | Creates and maintains an inclusive and supportive environment in which all students can learn |
| Uses the curriculum to help students learn about their own and others' cultures and societies (2.2, 2.5) | | Does not seem to have a clear understanding of their own or others' cultures and societies so as to increase students' understanding | Helps students to increase their understanding their own and others' cultures and societies | Consistently seeks opportunities to teach students about their own and others' cultures and societies in creative ways |
| Helps students incorporate language arts into their daily learning (2.6) | | Does little to demonstrate the role of arts and humanities in learning | Demonstrates the role of the arts and humanities in learning | Consistently plans learning experiences that connect the arts and humanities into their students' learning |
| Language use (4.7) | | Seldom addresses various purposes of language use | Emphasize various uses and purposes for language use | Consistently plans lessons that allow students to use language for a variety of purposes |

| | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|---|
| Promotes critical thinking (2.4) | | Seldom designs instruction that promotes critical thinking | Uses instruction techniques designed to develop students' critical thinking ability. | Consistently designs instruction that helps students to develop their critical thinking ability |
| Teaches students how to show respect for individual differences (4.4) | | Seems unable to create an environment of respect for differences | Creates an environment that promotes respect for individual differences | Consistently seeks opportunities to analyze how social contexts affect language, carefully monitors own use of language, and expects students to show respect for differences |
| Teaches students how to read and interpret non-print texts (3.6.2) | | Seems unable to construct meaning from media and non-print texts | Is able to construct meaning from media and non-print texts and help students to gain this ability | Designs instruction that effectively teaches students how to construct meaning from media and non-print texts |
| Teaches students' respectful ways in which to converse with each other (4.5) | | Is often ineffective to engaging students in discussions around others' ideas | Manages effective discussions for responding to others' ideas | Teaches students how to present and discuss their ideas and to respond to others in a respectful and appropriate way |
| Use of reader response as a way for students' to engage in textual analysis (4.8) | | Does not consistently help students connect texts to their lives | Uses reader response techniques to help students see how texts are connected to their lives | Consistently helps students to make connections between the meaning of texts and their personal lives |
| III. PROFESSIONAL ABILITY | | | | |
| Reflects on teaching for the purpose of improving instruction (2.3 and 3.7.1) | | Is not a consistent reflective practitioner and does not actively pursue professional growth and interaction | Reflects on practice, is aware of professional resources, and discusses teaching with colleagues | Is a reflective practitioner who seeks continually to improve instruction by seeking advice , additional study, and professional development |
| Uses a variety of current curricula, resources and methods (4.1) | | Is not always effective in selecting materials or techniques for learning | Selects appropriate materials for instruction | Uses a variety of effective methods and current resources in designing instruction |
| Uses effective classroom management strategies for students and the environment (4.2) | | Is often ineffective at matching instruction with classroom organization to promote learning | Is aware of the need to organize the classroom environment for learning and includes whole group, small group, and individual learning time | Is effective in managing the classroom behavior and environment to create learning communities |
| Provides students with | | Does not always provide appropriate feedback or | Provides appropriate feedback and time for | Employs a variety of effective techniques for providing |

| | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| opportunities for feedback and reflection (4.2) | | allow for students to learn from each other. | students to learn from each other | feedback to students and allowing them to provide feedback to each other and to reflect on their work |
| Works with colleagues in other disciplines when appropriate (4.3) | | Is limited in knowledge of connections to other disciplines | Uses interdisciplinary approaches in own teaching when appropriate | Works well with colleagues from other disciplines to help students make connections among the disciplines |
| IV. ASSESSMENT (4.10) | | | | |
| Devises effective and varied assessment strategies, including non-print, that are clear to students (3.6.2 and 4.1) | | Fails to design or use a variety of assessment strategies | Uses a variety of assessment strategies | Designs a variety of effective assessment strategies with clear rubrics that genuinely measure students' ELA learning |
| Uses assessment results to inform instruction (4.10) | | Results of assessment are not reported or interpreted so as to promote student learning | Uses assessment instruments to report student progress | Consistently follows through to maximize student learning in ELA through assessment results |
| Uses assessments to help students monitor their learning (4.3) | | Assesses student work without making a clear connection to learning and progress | Keeps students informed about assessment results and consequences for overall progress | Designs ways for students to use assessment results to monitor their learning and progress in ELA |
| Can explain assessments to students, parents, and other concerned professionals(4.4) | | Can not easily respond to others' questions about assessment instruments or results | Is able to explain assessment techniques to others | Is able to explain assessment instruments and results to others and to articulate the relationship between the instrument chosen and the desired learning outcomes |